



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/623,788

07/21/2003

Leonard Forbes

1303.109US1

6087

21186

7590

03/13/2008

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

PERKINS, PAMELA E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/13/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/623,788	Applicant(s) FORBES ET AL.	
	Examiner PAMELA E. PERKINS	Art Unit 2822	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 and 66-69 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 22-38, 47-52 and 66-69 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, 10-14, 16-21, 39-41, 43-46 and 53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 8, 9, 15 and 42 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the request for reconsideration on 13 November 2007. Claims 1-53 and 66-69 are pending; claims 54-65 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 12, 14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clingman et al. (6,994,762) in view of Belford (6,455,397).

Referring to claims 1 and 16, Clingman et al. disclose a method for forming a wafer where a predetermined contour is formed in one of a semiconductor membrane (12) and a substrate wafer (14); and bonding the semiconductor membrane (12) to the substrate wafer (14) and straightening the predetermined contour to induce a predetermined strain in the semiconductor membrane (12) (Fig. 1-3; col. 2, lines 61-66; col. 3, lines 44-64). Clingman et al. does not disclose wherein the substrate wafer is a structure used in integrated circuit fabrication of which integrated circuits are formed.

Belford discloses a method for forming a wafer where a strained semiconductor membrane (108) is bonded to a substrate wafer (104), wherein the substrate wafer

(104) is a structure used in integrated circuit fabrication on which integrated circuits are formed (Fig.1; col. 2, line 58 thru col. 3, line 34).

Since Clingman et al. and Belford are both from the same field of endeavor, a method for forming a wafer, the purpose disclosed by Belford would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Clingman et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Clingman et al. by wherein the substrate wafer is a structure used in integrated circuit fabrication of which integrated circuits are formed as taught by Belford to increase conductance (col. 1, lines 22-28).

Referring to claim 2, Clingman et al. disclose wherein the predetermined contour is straightened when the semiconductor membrane is bonded to the substrate wafer (col. 3, lines 44-64).

Referring to claim 3, Clingman et al. disclose wherein the semiconductor membrane is bonded to the substrate wafer before the predetermined contour is straightened (col. 3, lines 32-43).

Referring to claim 6, Clingman et al. disclose wherein forming a predetermined contour in one of a semiconductor membrane and a substrate wafer includes applying a pressure to flex the substrate wafer to have a predetermined strain; and bonding the semiconductor membrane to the substrate wafer and straightening the predetermined contour to induce a predetermined strain in the semiconductor membrane includes: bonding a periphery of the membrane to a periphery of the substrate wafer; and

removing the pressure to relax the substrate wafer and transfer strain from the substrate wafer to the semiconductor membrane (col. 3, lines 16-64).

Referring to claim 7, Belford discloses applying a pressure to flex the substrate wafer to have a predetermined strain includes applying a pressure to a substrate wafer having a thickness sufficiently small such that the substrate wafer is flexible (col. 5, lines 6145-22).

Referring to claims 10 and 43, Belford discloses polishing the bonded crystalline wafer to thin the crystalline wafer and control the induced strain (col. 3, lines 24-34).

Referring to claim 11, Belford discloses the semiconductor membrane including silicon (col. 3, lines 24-39).

Referring to claims 12 and 18, Clingman et al. disclose wherein the substrate wafer is glass (col. 2, line 66 thru col. 3, line 4).

Referring to claims 13, 14 and 17, Although Belford does not specifically discloses the semiconductor membrane including an ultra-thin semiconductor layer, it is obvious the semiconductor membrane is ultra-thin because it has a thick not more than 10 microns (col. 3. lines 65 and 66).

Referring to claims 19 and 20, Clingman et al. does not disclose the strain between 0.75% and 1.5%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a predetermined strain between 0.75% and 1.5% disclosed in the claimed invention, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Claims 5, 21, 39-41, 44-46 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clingman et al. in view of Belford and Yamazaki et al. (6,902,616).

Clingman et al. and Belford disclose the subject matter above except wherein upon bonding, the semiconductor membrane and the substrate wafer forms a composite structure, the method further comprising bonding the composite structure to a carrier substrate.

Referring to claims 5, 21 and 39, Yamazaki et al. disclose a method for forming a wafer including a convex contour in a surface of a sacrificial crystalline wafer; and bond a ultra-thin semiconductor membrane to a substrate wafer, wherein the ultra-thin semiconductor membrane is flattened and strained when bonded to the substrate wafer, wherein upon bonding, the semiconductor membrane and the substrate wafer form a composite structure, the method further comprising bonding the composite structure to a carrier substrate (Fig. 6A-7C; col. 5, lines 46-61).

Since Clingman et al. and Yamazaki et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method for forming a wafer, the purpose disclosed by Yamazaki et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Clingman et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Clingman et al. by upon bonding, the semiconductor membrane and the substrate wafer form a composite structure, the method further comprising bonding the composite structure to a carrier substrate as taught by Yamazaki et al. to increase threshold voltage (col. 2, lines 8-34).

Art Unit: 2822

Referring to claim 40, Although Belford does not specifically disclose the semiconductor membrane including an ultra-thin semiconductor layer, it is obvious the semiconductor membrane is ultra-thin because it has a thick not more than 10 microns (col. 3. lines 65 and 66).

Referring to claim 41, Yamazaki et al. disclose heat-treating the sacrificial wafer and the substrate wafer; and separating the sacrificial wafer from the membrane such that the silicon membrane remains strongly bonded to the substrate wafer (col. 3, lines 30-34).

Referring to claims 44 and 53, Yamazaki et al. disclose forming a gate separated from the strained semiconductor layer by a gate insulator; and forming first and second diffusion regions separated by a channel region, the strained semiconductor layer including the first and second diffusion region and the channel region (col. 4, lines 1-5).

Although Yamazaki et al. does not specifically disclose forming a gate separated from the strained semiconductor layer by a gate insulator; first and second diffusion regions separated by a channel region they are inherent features in the formation of a thin film transistor.

Referring to claim 45, Belford discloses wherein the semiconductor layer is wafer sized (col. 3, lines 24-26).

Referring to claim 46, Clingman et al. disclose wherein forming a predetermined contour in one of a semiconductor membrane and a substrate wafer includes applying a pressure to flex the substrate wafer to have a predetermined strain; and bonding the semiconductor membrane to the substrate wafer and straightening the predetermined contour to induce a predetermined strain in the semiconductor membrane includes:

bonding a periphery of the membrane to a periphery of the substrate wafer; and removing the pressure to relax the substrate wafer and transfer strain from the substrate wafer to the semiconductor membrane (col. 3, lines 16-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-38, 47-52 and 66-69 are allowed.

Claims 4, 8, 9, 15 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Referring to claims 8, 15, 30-38, 42, 66, 67 and 69, prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest forming voids in the substrate wafer to provide the substrate with a desired flexibility.

Referring to claims 22-27 and 47-52, prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest bonding a peripheral region of the substrate wafer to a peripheral region of a silicon membrane and not bonding the silicon membrane to the central region of the substrate wafer when the substrate wafer is in the flexed position.

Referring to claims 28-30 and 68, prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest performing a bond cut process to form a silicon membrane from a crystalline sacrificial wafer and bond a peripheral region of the substrate wafer to a peripheral region of a silicon membrane when the substrate wafer is in the flexed position.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As stated above, Clingman et al. in view of Belford, and Yamazaki et al. disclose the method for forming a wafer as described in claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-14, 16-21, 39-41, 43-46 and 53.

In response to the applicant's arguments, the applicant argues Clingman et al. does not teach bonding a semiconductor membrane to a substrate while the substrate is flexed and then straighten the substrate to strain the membrane. However, Clingman et al. does disclose placing a layer on a concave portion of a flexible substrate and applying force to flatten the substrate (claim 7). Applicant also argues Clingman et al. and Belford are not in the same field of endeavor because Clingman et al. teaches straining a piezo layer. However, it is commonly known in the art to use piezo material in the formation of semiconductor devices used in integrated circuits.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2822

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAMELA E. PERKINS whose telephone number is (571)272-1840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Zandra V. Smith/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2822

PEP
3 March 2008

